## Guarantees for Machine Learning, Fall 2023

### Lecture 1: Introduction and concentration bounds

### Class intro

**Objective**. Develop graduate students into researchers who can

- understand and criticize papers in ML theory
- conjecture and prove new theorems that with high impact

### Prerequisites

- Familiar with core machine learning concepts
- Should be comfortable writing rigorous mathematical proofs (for D-MATH courses)

#### **Course structure**

- First part: classical techniques for non-asymptotic risk bounds
  - Core reference: Martin Wainwright: High-dimensional statistics (available for free online via ETH)
- Second part: projects that review and extend current papers

# Logistics

- Class website sml.inf.ethz.ch/gml23/syllabus.html
- Lecture slides will be uploaded after lectures at the latest
- TAs: Konstantin Donhauser, Julia Kostin (Office hours on request)
- Internet platforms to sign up for: moodle (announcements, questions, teammate search), Gradescope (assignments)
- Important date announcements: in class and per email

# **Evaluation & enrollment**

### Evaluation

- 2 homeworks (10%), midterm (50%), project (40%)
- HWs:
  - randomly select questions graded by TAs
  - check HW release schedule on the website
- Project (in groups of two):
  - Pick a paper from list according to your interests & background on (October 13)
  - Discussion & extension of one theoretical paper
  - 15-20 min Presentation in last four weeks
  - $\geq$  10 page written report (due **January 12**)

### Enrollment

- Current waitlist: ~75. Admitted: 30. Limit for admissions: 30
- By experience, everybody who wants to take it, can
- Final deadline to de-register: **October 11th** else no-show
- Others welcome to audit as long as there is space

## Who is here?

Which department?

- 1. Computer Science
- 2. Mathematics/Statistics
- 3. Data Science
- 4. EE & Robotics
- 5. Others

What stage of your studies are you?

- 1. Masters
- 2. PhD student
- 3. Bachelors

### Plan for today

- Statistical perspective on the supervised learning pipeline
- Evaluation of an estimator using the excess risk
- Concentration bounds of empirical means

# Recap: (Supervised) Machine Learning - Classification



y: Probability of click / purchase

y: storm speed

Figure 2: Regression examples

# Statistical Perspective on (supervised) Machine Learning



Figure 3: Supervised learning pipeline from statistical point of view

- some examples for  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{train} = \mathbb{P}_{test}$  include
  - regression: marginal dist. over x and  $y = f^*(x) + \epsilon$  for random  $\epsilon$
  - classification: generative such as Gaussian mixture model or discriminative: marginal dist. over x and y = sign(f\*(x))
- The estimate *f̂<sub>n</sub>* ∈ *F* depends on (*x<sub>i</sub>*, *y<sub>i</sub>*)<sup>*n*</sup><sub>*i*=1</sub> (i.e. is random) and is in some function class (e.g. linear, neural network etc.)

# Evaluation of an estimator $\hat{f}_n$

Whether  $\hat{f}_n$  is "good" is decided during test time: On average over test points (x, y), we'd like the predictions  $\hat{f}_n(x)$  to be close to y

- We measure "close" via a pointwise loss ℓ, e.g. ℓ((x, y), f) = (f(x) - y)<sup>2</sup> for regression or ℓ(x, y; f) = 1<sub>f(x)=y</sub> for classification
- We call the average loss of any function f the population risk R(f) := R(f; ℙ) = 𝔅ℓ((x, y); f)
- We further call the training loss of any f the *empirical risk*  $R_n(f) := R(f; D) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell((x_i, y_i); f)$  estimate is
- In the next lectures we'll consider the empirical risk minimization paradigm where

$$\widehat{f}_n := rgmin_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_n(f)$$

Evaluation of an estimator  $\hat{f}_n$ Q: For classification, is  $R(\hat{f}_n) = 20\%$  bad or good?

A: Depends on how hard the task is! Perhaps it's not possible to achieve perfect accuracy!

We should compare population risk of  $\hat{f}_n$  with that of the best possible function *if we knew the full distribution*, i.e. evaluate the excess risk:

 $\mathcal{E}_R(n) := R(\widehat{f}_n) - \inf_f R(f) \le UB(...)$ 

Grab a neighbor: Designate a presenter. Discuss for 5 minutes.

- 1. How is the population risk of an estimator related to its test error?
- 2. Which parameters of the problem and algorithm does the excess risk depend on? What happens to the excess risk of an estimator  $\hat{f}_n$  when we vary these parameters? Categorize the phenomena
- 3. What are tradeoffs when we consider the *empirical risk minimizer*  $\hat{f}_n := \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_n(f)$

 $11 \, / \, 20$ 

### Questions on the excess risk

- 1. Population risk vs. test error
- Test error on n' new samples follows  $R_{n'}(\widehat{f}_n) \to R_n(\widehat{f}_n)$  by law of large numbers (LLN)
- 2. Excess risk depends on model class  $\mathcal{F}$ , dimensionality of the data d, sample size n and consists of the following factors and trends
- approximation error (if  $f^* = \arg \min_f R(f)$  is complicated): larger  $\mathcal{F}$ , smaller d better
- optimization error (due to optimization algorithm): Lipschitz, (strong) convex loss l better
- statistical error (due to finite sample and noise):
  larger n (usually) better (depends on *F*, d as well) of course ← this course
- 3. Tradeoffs: Larger  $\mathcal{F}$ , bigger effect of noise (statistical error) but smaller approx error (variance vs. bias)

# This course: Non-asymptotic take on statistical "Guarantees for Machine Learning"

We introduce general frameworks to analyze excess risk and compute concrete upper (and lower) bounds s.t. with prob. at least  $1-\delta$ 

$$R(\widehat{f}_n) - R(f^*) \leq UB(n, d, \mathcal{F}, f^*)$$

where we assume  $f^* = \arg \min_f R(f)$  exists.

Questions we'd like to answer:

- 1. Does UB converge to 0 as *n* increases? (consistency)
- 1. If I collect double as much data, how much do I decrease my excess risk?  $\rightarrow$  boils down to the exponent of *n* (statistical rate)

This course focuses on 2. We'll now discuss some probabilistic basics that give a sense for what to expect from course later.

### Excess risk decomposition

- Recall the population risk  $R(f) = \mathbb{E}\ell((X, Y); f)$
- Recall the empirical risk  $R_n(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell((X_i, Y_i); f)$
- Remember we want to bound the excess risk

$$R(\widehat{f}_n) - R(f^*) = R(\widehat{f}_n) - R_n(\widehat{f}_n) + \underbrace{\overline{R_n(\widehat{f}_n) - R_n(f^*)}}_{T_1} + \underbrace{R_n(f^*) - R_n(f^*)}_{T_2} + R_n(f^*) - R(f^*)$$

Question: Are  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  qualitatively similarly hard to bound? Is  $T_3 \leq 0$  always true? Briefly discuss with your neighbor.

- $T_3 \leq 0$  is only true when  $f^* \in \mathcal{F}!$
- T<sub>1</sub> is harder than T<sub>2</sub> since it's a sum of dependent variables whereas
  T<sub>2</sub> is difference between an emprical mean and its expectation.

Concentration bounds for single random variables (R.V.)

- *Markov* inequality:  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge t) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}X}{t}$  for  $X \ge 0$ ;
- Markov used on  $e^{\lambda(X-\mathbb{E}X)}$  for  $\lambda \ge 0$  yields the *Chernoff* bound

$$\mathbb{P}(X - \mathbb{E}X \ge t) \le \inf_{\lambda \ge 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(X - \mathbb{E}X)}]}{e^{\lambda t}}$$

where the inf is effectively over all  $\lambda \ge 0$  where the moment generating function (MGF)  $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda X}$  exists

We can use Chernoff to get tighter bounds for R.V. X with short tails

Definition (Sub-Gaussian random variables)

A random variable X with mean  $\mu$  is sub-Gaussian w/ parameter  $\sigma$  if

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \leq e^{\lambda^2 \sigma^2/2}$$
 for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ 

• For  $\sigma$  sub-Gaussians using Chernoff we obtain the tail bound

$$\mathbb{P}(X - \mathbb{E}X \geq t) \leq \inf_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathrm{e}^{rac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2} - \lambda t} = \mathrm{e}^{-rac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

15 / 20

Examples for sub-Gaussian random variables

- Gaussians  $\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$  are sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\sigma$
- Rademacher variables  $\epsilon = -1, +1$  with equal probability 1/2 are sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\sigma = 1$ 
  - We can directly compute and bound their MGF

$$\mathbb{E}\mathsf{e}^{\lambda\epsilon} = rac{1}{2}(\mathsf{e}^{-\lambda} + \mathsf{e}^{\lambda}) \leq \mathsf{e}^{\lambda^2/2}$$

• Almost surely bounded in [*a*, *b*] (exercise)

### Empirical means of independent subgaussians

Lemma (Hoeffding's inequality)

For i.i.d sub-Gaussian R.V. X<sub>i</sub>, it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}-\mathbb{E}X\geq t)\leq e^{-\frac{nt^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}}$$

Neighbor-Q: Prove Hoeffding's inequality

- Recall sub-Gaussian:  $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \leq e^{\lambda^2\sigma^2/2}$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$
- Recall Chernoff for sub-Gaussians:  $\mathbb{P}(X \mathbb{E}X \ge t) \le e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$

## Proof of Hoeffding's inequality

1. We can apply Chernoff on the mean of *n* independent random variables with moment generating function

$$\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{i}-\mathbb{E}X_{i}))}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}e^{\frac{\lambda}{n}(X_{i}-\mu)}=[\mathbb{E}e^{\frac{\lambda}{n}(X_{i}-\mu)}]^{n}$$

- 1. Hence, the mean of *n* i.i.d. sub-Gaussian variables is sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$  since  $\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i \mathbb{E}X_i))} \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2n^2}n}$
- 1. yielding Hoeffding's inequality for the mean of iid sub-Gaussians

$$\mathbb{P}(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}-\mathbb{E}X\geq t)\leq e^{-\frac{nt^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}}$$

Q: How can we now use Hoeffding's inequality to bound the term  $T_2$ ?

# Syllabus of course

The courses focuses on bounding  $T_2$  using so-called uniform convergence.

We'll cover

- uniform convergence using Rademacher and Gaussian complexity
- metric entropy and chaining to bound the complexity
- application to non-parametric regression (kernel methods)
- minimax lower bounds
- theory for overparameterized models

### References

Concentration bounds:

• MW Chapters 2

Excess risk:

• MW Chapter 4